UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,357	08/01/2003	Henrik E. Hedlund	762302-1050	3703
63059 SETTER ROCH	7590 06/23/200 HE LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 780	6	PATS, JUSTIN		
ERIE, CO 8051	0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/633,357	HEDLUND ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Justiii W. Fats	3023	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	thin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i L below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·		nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-22 and 25-34</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u></li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Andre Boyce/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 3623	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner initially notes that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 of claims 1, 3-20 is hereby removed in light of Applicant's amendments of 6/8/09. Both Fields and Andre teach the computer implementation aspects of the amended claims (Fields, Figs. 4A-B; Andre, Figs. 1-3). Applicant argues that Click2Staff does not teach or suggest processing past schedules using a pattern recognition procedure to recognize historical shift patterns for a particular position indicated in the past schedules. Applicant's Remarks, 6/8/09, pg. 8-9. In response, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner is afforded the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim language so long as Applicant has not provided a clear, specific definition for its claim terms. Here, Applicant has not provided, in either its specification or the claims, clear, specific definitions for the phrases pattern recognition procedure, historical shift pattern, particular position, and past schedules. As such, a pattern recognition procedure can be reasonably interpreted as any way of recognizing a representative sample of tendencies. Click2Staff's use of an algorithm to analyze historical bank data to discover customer traffic trends meets this definition because a Click2Staff's algorithm uses a representative data sample, for example, a year's worth of bank transaction data as evidenced by Norton Miller, to recognize a tendency associated with that data, such as that the location's busiest time is shifting from the afternoon to the morning hours, as evidenced by Berkofsky. The pattern is historical in that the transaction data used in the analysis relates to transactions that occurred in the past. The pattern concerns the term shift in that the morning and afternoon hours represent scheduled periods of work for tellers and other bank employees. Furthermore, as evidenced by Hawaiian Bank, Click2Staff is readily capable of forecasting and scheduling in response to shift length rules. With respect to the term particular position, first, this term as claimed has the word for, preceding it, thus demonstrating that it is a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention, which must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Here, Click2Staff applies to a particular position in that it applies to bank teller staffing, and it applies to a particular bank location or branch, which also could be interpreted reasonably as a particular position. Additionally, Click2Staff is at least readily capable of applying its software to a particular position, so this limitation is met. Finally, with respect to the term past schedules, past schedules are processed by Click2Staff because Click2Staff analyses a file or list of past transaction data that is time-specific and includes such time-related data..